

Lecture: The basic RBC model

Advanced Macroeconomics
University of Warsaw

Jacek Suda

March 2019

Plan of the Presentation

- 1 Introduction
- 2 The model
- 3 Steady state
- 4 Linearization

Plan of the Presentation

- 1 Introduction
- 2 The model
- 3 Steady state
- 4 Linearization

RBC as benchmark

- The RBC model should be seen as a benchmark.
 - If a model with optimizing agents and instantaneous market clearing can explain the business cycle, no need for imperfections such as sticky prices to explain macroeconomic fluctuations.

- Focus on technology shocks allows to discern other shocks.
 - Growth theory: increases in TFP are the ultimate source of long-run growth in output per hour.

What will we do?

- Write down the problem
- Derive first order conditions
- Derive steady state
- Log-linearize the equations
- Solve
- Check stability
- *Simulate*

Plan of the Presentation

- 1 Introduction
- 2 The model**
- 3 Steady state
- 4 Linearization

Model

- DSGE models (so also RBC) are derived from microeconomic problems
- Write down the problems
 - 1 households maximize utility subject to budget constraint,
 - 2 producers maximize profits subject to technology,
 - 3 markets clear.
- Solve it, e.g. construct Lagrangeans (or Bellman equations).

Today's solution

- Derive first order conditions for optimum
- Get rid of Lagrange multipliers
- (*Log-*)linearize all equations (optional)
- Solve the system
- Set parameters
- Check stability

Households - the problem

- A representative household maximizes lifetime utility

$$E_t \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \beta^{t+i} u(c_{t+i}, l_{t+i})$$

subject to the budget constraint

$$c_t + i_t = w_t l_t + r_t k_{t-1} + Div_t$$

and the capital accumulation rule

$$k_t = (1 - \delta)k_{t-1} + i_t.$$

- The household rents labor l_t , and capital, k_t , to firms and receives as compensation the real wage w_t , the rental rate r_t ; and dividends, Div_t .

Households - Preferences

Choosing preferences, $u(c, l)$:

- concave, strictly increasing, twice continuously differentiable (\mathcal{C}^2) in both arguments,
- satisfy Inada conditions, $\lim_{c \rightarrow 0} u_c(c, l) = \lim_{n \rightarrow 0} u_l(c, 1 - n) = \infty$,
- consistent with economic theory and empirical observation:
 - 1 relatively constant risk premium,
 - 2 relatively constant consumption growth,
 - 3 stationary hours worked.
- King, Plosser, and Rebelo (1988a,b): utility must be of form

$$u(c, n) = \begin{cases} \frac{(c^{1-\sigma} v(l))^{-1}}{1-\sigma}, & \text{for } \sigma > 0, \sigma \neq 1 \\ \ln c + \ln v(l), & \text{for } \sigma = 1. \end{cases}$$

with some restrictions imposed on $v(l)$:

- $v(l)$ is \mathcal{C}^2
- monotonicity and concavity/convexity depends on σ

Households - Preferences

Choosing preferences, $u(c, l)$:

- for now we assume

$$u(c_t, l_t) = \frac{c_t^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma} - \psi \frac{l_t^{1+\varphi}}{1+\varphi}$$

and, if $\sigma \rightarrow 1$,

$$u(c_t, l_t) = \ln c_t - \psi \frac{l_t^{1+\varphi}}{1+\varphi}.$$

- The log-log formulation could be given by

$$u(c_t, l_t) = \ln c_t + \psi \ln(1 - l_t)$$

- We will assume $\psi = 1$.

Lagrangian

- We can substitute for investment

$$c_t + k_t = w_t l_t + (1 + r_t - \delta)k_{t-1} + Div_t$$

where $r_t - \delta$ is the real interest rate.

- Then, the household chooses c_t , l_t and k_t to maximize lifetime utility subject to budget constraints.
- Write down the Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}_t = E_t \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left[\beta^{t+i} \left(\frac{c_{t+i}^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma} - \frac{l_{t+i}^{1+\varphi}}{1+\varphi} \right) - \lambda_{t+i} (c_{t+i} + k_{t+i} - w_{t+i} l_{t+i} - (1 + r_{t+i} - \delta)k_{t-1+i} + Div_t) \right]$$

where λ_{t+i} denotes a Lagrange multiplier associated with budget constraint in period $t + i$.

First order conditions

$$\mathcal{L}_t = E_t \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left[\beta^{t+i} \left(\frac{c_{t+i}^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma} - \frac{l_{t+i}^{1+\varphi}}{1+\varphi} \right) - \lambda_{t+i} (c_{t+i} + k_{t+i} - w_{t+i} l_{t+i} - (1 + r_{t+i} - \delta) k_{t-1+i} + Div_t) \right]$$

$$c_t : \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial c_t} = \beta^t c_t^{-\sigma} - \lambda_t = 0$$

$$l_t : \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial l_t} = -\beta^t l_t^\varphi + \lambda_t w_t = 0$$

$$k_t : \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial k_t} = -\lambda_t + E_t [\lambda_{t+1} (1 + r_{t+1} - \delta)] = 0$$

- Note that additionally we have a transversality condition (TVC), $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_t k_t = 0$,
i.e. the value (discounted into present-value utils) of each additional unit of capital at infinity times the actual amount of capital has to be zero.

First order conditions

$$\mathcal{L}_t = E_t \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left[\beta^{t+i} \left(\frac{c_{t+i}^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma} - \frac{l_{t+i}^{1+\varphi}}{1+\varphi} \right) - \lambda_{t+i} (c_{t+i} + k_{t+i} - w_{t+i} l_{t+i} - (1 + r_{t+i} - \delta) k_{t-1+i} + Div_t) \right]$$

$$c_t : \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial c_t} = \beta^t c_t^{-\sigma} - \lambda_t = 0$$

$$l_t : \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial l_t} = -\beta^t l_t^{\varphi} + \lambda_t w_t = 0$$

$$k_t : \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial k_t} = -\lambda_t + E_t [\lambda_{t+1} (1 + r_{t+1} - \delta)] = 0$$

- Note that additionally we have a transversality condition (TVC), $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_t k_t = 0$,
i.e. the value (discounted into present-value utils) of each additional unit of capital at infinity times the actual amount of capital has to be zero.

First order conditions

$$\mathcal{L}_t = E_t \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left[\beta^{t+i} \left(\frac{c_{t+i}^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma} - \frac{l_{t+i}^{1+\varphi}}{1+\varphi} \right) \right. \\ \left. - \lambda_{t+i} (c_{t+i} + k_{t+i} - w_{t+i} l_{t+i} - (1 + r_{t+i} - \delta) k_{t-1+i} + Div_t) \right]$$

$$c_t : \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial c_t} = \beta^t c_t^{-\sigma} - \lambda_t = 0$$

$$l_t : \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial l_t} = -\beta^t l_t^\varphi + \lambda_t w_t = 0$$

$$k_t : \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial k_t} = -\lambda_t + E_t [\lambda_{t+1} (1 + r_{t+1} - \delta)] = 0$$

- Note that additionally we have a transversality condition (TVC), $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_t k_t = 0$,
i.e. the value (discounted into present-value utils) of each additional unit of capital at infinity times the actual amount of capital has to be zero.

First order conditions

$$\mathcal{L}_t = E_t \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left[\beta^{t+i} \left(\frac{c_{t+i}^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma} - \frac{l_{t+i}^{1+\varphi}}{1+\varphi} \right) - \lambda_{t+i} (c_{t+i} + k_{t+i} - w_{t+i} l_{t+i} - (1 + r_{t+i} - \delta) k_{t-1+i} + Div_t) \right]$$

$$c_t : \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial c_t} = \beta^t c_t^{-\sigma} - \lambda_t = 0$$

$$l_t : \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial l_t} = -\beta^t l_t^\varphi + \lambda_t w_t = 0$$

$$k_t : \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial k_t} = -\lambda_t + E_t [\lambda_{t+1} (1 + r_{t+1} - \delta)] = 0$$

- Note that additionally we have a transversality condition (TVC), $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_t k_t = 0$,
i.e. the value (discounted into present-value utils) of each additional unit of capital at infinity times the actual amount of capital has to be zero.

Equilibrium conditions - Euler equation

Use $\partial\mathcal{L}/\partial c_t = 0$ to substitute for λ in $\partial\mathcal{L}/\partial k_t = 0$ to get

$$c_t^{-\sigma} = \beta E_t[c_{t+1}^{-\sigma}(1 + r_{t+1} - \delta)]$$

- This is the **consumption Euler equation**.
- It determines the household's *intertemporal choice* (how much to consume today, how much to save).
- In equilibrium the disutility from one unit less consumed today equals expected discounted utility of consuming $(1 + r_{t+1} - \delta)$ units tomorrow.

Equilibrium conditions - consumption vs. leisure

Use $\partial\mathcal{L}/\partial c_t = 0$ to substitute for λ in $\partial\mathcal{L}/\partial l_t = 0$ to get

$$l_t^\varphi = w_t c_t^{-\sigma}$$

- This equation determines the household's *intra-temporal choice*: how much to consume *against* how much to work.
- In equilibrium the utility of one unit more of work should be equal to the utility from consuming the compensation (real wage).

Firms - the problem

- A representative firm uses capital and labor hired from households to produce a unique good y_t .
- Its objective is to maximize profits:

$$Div_t = y_t - w_t l_t - r_t k_{t-1}$$

- subject to technology

$$y_t = z_t k_{t-1}^\alpha l_t^{1-\alpha},$$

where z_t denotes aggregate productivity.

Firms - equilibrium condition for labor

- Substitute for y_t to get:

$$Div_t = z_t k_{t-1}^\alpha l_t^{1-\alpha} - w_t l_t - r_t k_{t-1}$$

- First order condition for labor is:

l_t :

$$\frac{\delta Div_t}{\delta l_t} = (1 - \alpha) z_t k_{t-1}^\alpha l_t^{-\alpha} - w_t = 0$$

- In equilibrium the marginal product of labor equals the real wage
- To simplify use production function to write:

$$(1 - \alpha) \frac{y_t}{l_t} = w_t$$

Firms - equilibrium condition for labor

- Substitute for y_t to get:

$$Div_t = z_t k_{t-1}^\alpha l_t^{1-\alpha} - w_t l_t - r_t k_{t-1}$$

- First order condition for labor is:

l_t :

$$\frac{\delta Div_t}{\delta l_t} = (1 - \alpha) z_t k_{t-1}^\alpha l_t^{-\alpha} - w_t = 0$$

- In equilibrium the marginal product of labor equals the real wage
- To simplify use production function to write:

$$(1 - \alpha) \frac{y_t}{l_t} = w_t$$

Firms - equilibrium condition for capital

- First order condition for capital is:

k_{t-1} :

$$\frac{\delta Div_t}{\delta k_{t-1}} = \alpha z_t k_{t-1}^{\alpha-1} l_t^{1-\alpha} - r_t = 0$$

- In equilibrium the marginal product of capital equals the real rental rate of capital.
- Using production function we write:

$$\alpha \frac{y_t}{k_{t-1}} = r_t$$

- In the RBC setting profits are zero (perfect competition), $Div_t = 0$.
To see this substitute r_t and w_t into the profit function.

Firms - equilibrium condition for capital

- First order condition for capital is:

k_{t-1} :

$$\frac{\delta Div_t}{\delta k_{t-1}} = \alpha z_t k_{t-1}^{\alpha-1} l_t^{1-\alpha} - r_t = 0$$

- In equilibrium the marginal product of capital equals the real rental rate of capital.
- Using production function we write:

$$\alpha \frac{y_t}{k_{t-1}} = r_t$$

- In the RBC setting profits are zero (perfect competition), $Div_t = 0$.
To see this substitute r_t and w_t into the profit function.

Productivity and market clearing

- It is assumed that productivity z_t follows an $AR(1)$ process:

$$z_t = \exp(\epsilon_t) z_{t-1}^\rho,$$

or

$$\hat{z}_t = \rho \hat{z}_{t-1} + \epsilon_t,$$

where ϵ_t is a productivity shock

- This is the only stochastic process in the basic RBC model
- Together with the internal persistence of the model it generates the business cycle
- The goods market clears:

$$c_t + i_t = y_t$$

Equilibrium conditions - summary

- Now we have a system of 8 equations with 8 endogenous variables (c , r , l , w , k , i , y , z):

$$c_t^{-\sigma} = \beta E_t [c_{t+1}^{-\sigma} (1 + r_{t+1} - \delta)] \quad (1)$$

$$w_t = \frac{l_t^\varphi}{c_t^{-\sigma}} \quad (2)$$

$$k_t = (1 - \delta)k_{t-1} + i_t \quad (3)$$

$$y_t = c_t + i_t \quad (4)$$

Equilibrium conditions - cont'd

$$y_t = z_t k_{t-1}^\alpha l_t^{1-\alpha} \quad (5)$$

$$w_t = (1 - \alpha) \frac{y_t}{l_t} \quad (6)$$

$$r_t = \alpha \frac{y_t}{k_{t-1}} \quad (7)$$

$$z_t = \exp(\epsilon_t) z_{t-1}^\rho \quad (8)$$

- This can be solved
- But two problems arise
 - ① equations are non-linear
 - ② these are expectational difference equations

Plan of the Presentation

- 1 Introduction
- 2 The model
- 3 Steady state**
- 4 Linearization

Interest rate and productivity

- In the deterministic steady state uncertainty disappears and all variables are constant, for example $c_t = c_{t+1} = c^{ss}$.
- We assume that in the steady state z is constant and

$$z^{ss} = 1 \quad (9)$$

- From (1) we get

$$c_t^{-\sigma} = \beta E_t [c_{t+1}^{-\sigma} (1 + r_{t+1} - \delta)]$$

$$(c^{ss})^{-\sigma} = \beta (c^{ss})^{-\sigma} (1 + r^{ss} - \delta)$$

Simplifying

$$r^{ss} = \beta^{-1} - (1 - \delta) \quad (10)$$

Capital-labor and investment-capital ratios

- Substituting from the production function (5) for y_t into (7) we get

$$\alpha \frac{z_t k_{t-1}^\alpha l_t^{1-\alpha}}{k_{t-1}} = r_t$$

- In the steady state

$$r^{ss} = \alpha (k^{ss})^{\alpha-1} (l^{ss})^{1-\alpha} = \alpha \left(\frac{k^{ss}}{l^{ss}} \right)^{\alpha-1}$$

Rearranging we can obtain formula for capital-labor ratio

$$\frac{k^{ss}}{l^{ss}} = \left(\frac{r^{ss}}{\alpha} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha-1}} \quad (11)$$

where r^{ss} is given by (10).

Wage and investment-capital ratio

- Substituting from the production function (5) for y_t into (6) we get

$$w_t = (1 - \alpha) \frac{z_t k_{t-1}^\alpha l_t^{1-\alpha}}{l_t} = (1 - \alpha) z_t \frac{k_{t-1}^\alpha}{l_t^\alpha}$$

which in the steady state becomes

$$w^{ss} = (1 - \alpha) \left(\frac{k^{ss}}{l^{ss}} \right)^\alpha \quad (12)$$

where k^{ss}/l^{ss} is given by (11).

- From (3) in the steady state we have

$$k^{ss} = (1 - \delta)k^{ss} + i^{ss}$$

which simplifies to

$$\frac{i^{ss}}{k^{ss}} = \delta \quad (13)$$

Consumption-labor ratio

- Substituting from (5) for y_t into (4) we get

$$c_t + i_t = z_t k_{t-1}^\alpha l_t^{1-\alpha}$$

which in the steady state becomes

$$c^{ss} + i^{ss} = (k^{ss})^\alpha (l^{ss})^{1-\alpha} = \left(\frac{k^{ss}}{l^{ss}}\right)^\alpha l^{ss}$$

- Dividing by l^{ss}

$$\frac{c^{ss}}{l^{ss}} + \frac{i^{ss}}{l^{ss}} = \left(\frac{k^{ss}}{l^{ss}}\right)^\alpha$$

- Substituting for i^{ss} from (13) and rearranging

$$\frac{c^{ss}}{l^{ss}} = \left(\frac{k^{ss}}{l^{ss}}\right)^\alpha - \delta \frac{k^{ss}}{l^{ss}} \quad (14)$$

where k^{ss}/l^{ss} is given by (11).

Labor

- From (2) we have

$$\frac{l_t^\varphi}{c_t^{-\sigma}} = w_t$$

which in the steady state it becomes

$$(l^{ss})^\varphi (c^{ss})^\sigma = w^{ss}$$

Substituting for w^{ss} from (12) and for c^{ss} from (14)

$$(l^{ss})^\varphi \left[\left(\frac{k^{ss}}{l^{ss}} \right)^\alpha - \delta \frac{k^{ss}}{l^{ss}} \right]^\sigma (l^{ss})^\sigma = (1 - \alpha) \left(\frac{k^{ss}}{l^{ss}} \right)^\alpha$$

Solving with respect to l^{ss} we get

$$l^{ss} = \left[\frac{(1 - \alpha) \left(\frac{k^{ss}}{l^{ss}} \right)^\alpha}{\left[\left(\frac{k^{ss}}{l^{ss}} \right)^\alpha - \delta \frac{k^{ss}}{l^{ss}} \right]^\sigma} \right]^{\frac{1}{\varphi + \sigma}} \quad (15)$$

where k^{ss}/l^{ss} is given by (11).

Remaining variables

- To obtain c^{ss} , note

$$c^{ss} = \frac{c^{ss}}{l^{ss}} l^{ss} \quad (16)$$

where c^{ss}/l^{ss} is given by (14) and l^{ss} is given by (15).

- We can obtain capital k^{ss} from

$$k^{ss} = \frac{k^{ss}}{l^{ss}} l^{ss} \quad (17)$$

where k^{ss}/l^{ss} is given by (11) and l^{ss} is given by (15).

- To obtain output we use the production function

$$y^{ss} = (k^{ss})^\alpha (l^{ss})^{1-\alpha}$$

where k^{ss} is given by (17) and l^{ss} is given by (15).

- and we can obtain investment from (13)

$$i^{ss} = \delta k^{ss}$$

where k^{ss} is given by (17).

Plan of the Presentation

- 1 Introduction
- 2 The model
- 3 Steady state
- 4 Linearization**

Non-linear vs. linear models

- We have a system of non-linear difference equations
- Two options:
 - 1 use Dynare to linearize it,
 - 2 linearize by hand.
- Linearizing by hand can be tedious but has some advantages:
 - 1 some parameters may disappear
 - 2 the system is easier to understand
 - 3 solution in Dynare easier (steady state is known)